UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,247	06/19/2006	Matthias Baca	2003PO3602WOUS	2438	
Siemens Corpor	7590 08/14/200 ration	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Prop	perty Department	NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM			
170 Wood Aver Iselin, NJ 08830	·-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/14/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/549,247	BACA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JEFF NATALINI	2831		

		JEH HANTINEHHI	2001	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED <u>11 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
applica applica	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ation, applicant must timely file one of the following ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appentinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cs:	replies: (1) an amendment, affi eal (with appeal fee) in complia	davit, or other evidence, w nce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 Th	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no Ex	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A be event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la caminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b)	ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(it time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date and is the date for purposes of determining the period of exits at the control of the second of	on which the petition under 37 CFf tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must	he filed within two month	s of the date of
filing tl	he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
(a) 🛛	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		cause
(c) 🛛	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	y reducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	rejected claims.	
4. 🔲 The a	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applio	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
non-al	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
how th The st Claim(Claim(arposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) le new or amended claims would be rejected is proventus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (s) allowed: (s) objected to: (s) rejected: 14.16-21 and 23-26.		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
	s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. 🔲 The af	OR OTHER EVIDENCE fidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu se applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entere	fidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ng a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
REQUEST I	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
	request for reconsideration has been considered bu 			ce because:
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). r:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
/Diego G Superviso	utierrez/ ry Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2831			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 14 and 21 have not been amended, but applicants arguments are not pursuasive. Applicant argues that the combination of Hamer in view of Twerdochlib, would make Twerdochlib inoperable for it's intended purpose and thus the combination is incorrect. But Twerdochlib is the secondary reference, and is not being modified, therefore, this argument is not convincing. Further applicant argues that Twerdochlib doesn't cause a thermal response indicative of at least one hot spot, but as seen in the claim language it is seen that the frequency converter is what causes this, so by having a combination of Hamer and Twerdochlib that includes a frequency converter, a thermal response would be 'caused' (this is the intended use of the frequency converter; the examiner does not necessarily agree that Twerdochlib does not do this as argued, but as Hamer and Twerdochlib have all the structural limitations of the apparatus this is easier than a technical argument at this time). A new issue that would require further search and consideration is raised by the amendment to the method claim 26, as this claim had not specifically claimed "to cause a thermal response indicative of at least one hot spot in the laminated core, and wherein inspecting a detected infrared recording for said at least one hot spot points towards faults in the laminated core of the generator".

As a further note, this amendment has not been entered and thus the specification amendment (amendment to abstract) must be refiled.